

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                              | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/838,513                                   | 04/20/2001     | Shaoyin Chen         | CS00-122            | CS00-122 9429    |  |
| 28112 7                                      | 590 01/30/2004 |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| GEORGE O. SAILE & ASSOCIATES 28 DAVIS AVENUE |                |                      | MALDONADO, JULIO J  |                  |  |
| POUGHKEEPSIE, NY 12603                       |                |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  | <b>,</b>       |                      | 2823                |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 01/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |   |  |  |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| Advisory Action  | 09/838,513  | CHEN ET AL.   |   |  |  |
| Auvisory Action  | Examin r  | Art Unit  |   |  |  |
|  | Julio J. Maldonado  | 2823  |   |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app   | ars on the cov r sheet with the c   | orrespondence add   | ress  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 20 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apperexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   | void abandonment of this applice<br>1) a timely filed amendment whi   | cation. A proper rep<br>ch places the applic  | oly to a<br>cation in   |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR RE  | PLY [check either a) or b)]   |   |   |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of   | •   |   |   |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The danave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened of the shortened by above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S I36(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or | See MPEP e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF   | · ·   |   |   |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b   | ecause:   |   |   |  |  |
| (a) M they raise new issues that would require furth   | er consideration and/or search (  | see NOTE below);  |   |  |  |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);   |   |   |   |  |  |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or   |   |   |   |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  |   |   |   |  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.  |   |   |   |  |  |
| $3.\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection   | ction(s):   |   |   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would<br/>canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   | be allowable if submitted in a s  | eparate, timely filed   | d amendment   |  |  |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because:  |   | sidered but does NO   | OT place the  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | cause it is not directed SOLELY   | to issues which we  | re newly  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w   |   |   | and an  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |   |   |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  | ,   |   |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |   |   |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-21.   |   | •   |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |   |   |  |  |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app   | proved or b) disapproved by   | the Examiner.   |   |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme  | nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <sub>-</sub>  | George Fi<br>George Fi  |   |  |  |
|  |   |   |   |  |  |

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment filed 01/20/2004 in reply to the final rejection has been considered but is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance and will not be entered because: The proposed amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. The amended independent claims now add the limitation "... wherein said laser annealing transforms..." where there was no mention within the claims of this limitation. While this places the application in better condition for allowance, it raises new issues into the prosecution of the instant application and would thus provide grounds for a new search.